

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/589,123	KRILL ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
YEVEGENY VALENROD	1621	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) YEVEGENY VALENROD. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Thimas M. Cunningham. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 February 2008

**Time:** 16:00

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*none*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Attorney agreed to the proposed examiners amendment to the specification.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Yevgeny Valenrod/ 5/2/08

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)